

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,062	03/06/2002	. Brian R. Beams	05222.00183	5464
29638	7590 10/28/2005		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF AND ATTORNEYS FOR ACCENTURE			HOLMES, MICHAEL B	
	. WACKER DRIVE, 30TH FLOOR CAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/018,062	BEAMS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael B. Holmes	2121			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dienociti	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) □ objected to by the E				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 2121



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 - www.uspto.gov

Examiner's Detailed Office Action

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office Action is responsive to communication received on August 18, 2005.

 Amendment under 37 CFR § 1.111. Reconsideration and allowance of the present application is respectfully requested by applicant.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed August 18, 2005 have been fully considered, however, they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cook et al. (USPN 5,727,950).

Regarding claim 1, 10 & 11. Cook et al. teaches a method, apparatus & computer program product for establishing a collaborative training session, comprising the steps of:

- (a) receiving information indicative of a goal [see Fig. 1 & Abstract, Examiner interprets a goal to be the end toward which an effort is directed or more appropriately an intention and in this case the intention is learning, C 6, L 57-65];
- (b) prompting a user to enter a response congruent with the goal [see Fig. 2A & C 6, L 37-49 & L 57-65];
- (c) receiving the response to the goal [see Fig. 2A & C 6, L 37-49 L 57-65];
- (d) calculating a level of congruency to achieve the goal by:
- (d)(i) logic and code segment that determining a first factor corresponding to an overall progress of the user in the collaborative training session [see Abstract];
- (d)(ii) logic and code segment that determining a second factor corresponding a plurality of specified aspects of the response that includes a correctness measure of the response [see C 27, L 26-43]; and
- (d)(iii) logic and code segment that combine(s) the first factor and the second factor to obtain the level of congruency [see FIG. 8, C 55, L 37 to C 57, L 08]; and
- (e) providing feedback to the user from a collaborative session reflecting the level of congruency to assist the user in achieving the goal [see C 5, L 46-55].

Regarding claim 2-5, 8, 9, 12-15, 18 & 19. Cook et al. teaches a plurality of servers that are coupled through a computer network [see Abstract, C 1, L 53-64, Fig. 2A & C 6, L 50-56].

Regarding claim 6, 7, 16 & 17. Cook et al. teaches a method wherein the training session is presented using prerecorded multimedia [see C 2, L 30-52 & C 4, L 34 to C 5, L 3].

Regarding claim 20. The method of claim 1, wherein one of the plurality of specified aspects includes a delivery characteristics associated with the response from the user [see C 5, L 46-55].

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant argues *Cook et al.* (USPN 5,727,950) fails to teach calculating a level of congruency and fails to teach "determining a first factor corresponding to an overall progress of the user in the collaborative training system," [see Abstract], "determining a second factor corresponding a plurality of specified aspects of the response that includes a correctness measure of the response," [see C 27, L 26-43], and "combining the first factor with the second factor to obtain the level of congruency." It is the examiner's position that *Cook et al.* (USPN 5,727,950), satisfies the *prima facie* bar for anticipation under Section 102.

Examiners Summary

- 8. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence Information

10. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Holmes, who may be reached Monday through Friday, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. EST. or via telephone at (571) 272-3686 or facsimile transmission (571) 273-3686 or email Michael.holmesb@uspto.gov.

If you need to send an Official facsimile transmission, please send it to (703) 746-7239.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the Examiner's Supervisor, Anthony Knight, may be reached at (571) 272-3687.

Hand-delivered responses should be delivered to the Receptionist @ (Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22313), located on the first floor of the south side of the Randolph Building.

Anthony Knight Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600

Michael B. Holmes

Patent Examiner Artificial Intelligence Art Unit 2121

United States Department of Commerce Patent & Trademark Office

Tuesday, October 25, 2005

MRH